

<u>S</u>mart and <u>S</u>calable <u>S</u>atellite High-<u>S</u>peed <u>Pro</u>cessing chain

# Next Generation Computing & Software Development for Space

Presenter: J. Naghmouchi (iTUBS)

Contributors: Bacem Ferjaoui, Wadid Foudhaily, Lei Jia, Harald Michalik (iTUBS),

Ole Bischoff, Daniel Smith(DSI), Rolf Scheiber (DLR), Hamid Amiri (ENIT), Riccardo Freddi (OHB-I)



#### **Application Objectives**

- Improved SAR data filtering decimation and compression
- Optimized data transmission through on-board data elaboration
- ✤ Near real time data processing
- ✤ Improved pattern recognition
- ✤ Multi architecture design

**Demands on Algorithms Processing Efficiency** Implemented **Features High Accuracy Modified Real-Time**  $\checkmark$ **Compression of Data during elaboration** Kernel for Linux **High Degree of Flexibility** Self-Optimizing SW  $\checkmark$ Libraries **Massive Data Elaboration at Low Power Portability Layer for**  $\checkmark$ Leverage Miniaturized HW Modules based on COTS SW Libraries High Degree of Modularity and Portability Algorithm and  $\checkmark$ **Run on Real-Time OS** Software Co-Design **Demands on SW** 

### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

#### Target Payload Processing Unit

- Zynq ultra scale ZCU102
- ARM cortex A53
- Frequency 1200Mhz
- PCI Express x4
- Peta-Linux (Modified Kernel)



#### Optical Ship Detection Performance

- Ref throughput: 86 Mbit/s
- Achieved throughput: 762 Mbit/s
- Accuracy : 99.98 %
- Meets Requirements of future Ship detection applications for OHB nanosat platforms! (600Mbit/s)

#### Thourghput Comparison HiPeR vs. OpenCV (Mbps)



Shipdetection Throughput mixed with OpenCV

#### Staggered SAR performance

- Ref Throughput: 0.097 Gbit/s
- Achieved throughput: 16.8 Gbit/s
- Accuracy : 99.91 %
- Meets requirements similar to ROSE-L missions and beyond

Throughput Comparison HiPeR vs. Reference (Mbps)





# performanceRef Throughp

# **HW ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW**

#### System Architecture

- In S4Pro an on-board computer system is developed that includes the Ultrascale+ as a payload processing unit due to its computational performance, interfacing capabilities (PCIe Gen2/HSSL, DDR3/4, ethernet etc.), and programmable logic.
- For Mission critical tasks such as command and control, space qualified HW is being employed in the project, though.

#### **PPU Architecture**

- Driving is the Xilinx Zynq Ultrascale+ as representative HW for New Space Technology.
- Although the Processor is not (yet) space qualified, it has been under investigation for use in space.





# **RT OS OVERVIEW**

#### Architecture



#### **Default behavior**

#### **Beyond RT behavior**



#### Changes

- RT Kernel (Full preemption)
- (SOFT) Overclocking
- TLB tuning, kernel tweaking
- Isolated cores used solely for our application.

# **SAR ALGORITHMS OVERVIEW**

The main issues:

- Due to the temporal order of the incomming data stream, the spatial representation of the data is not optimal for stream processing
- The data size is relatively large
- The incomming data stream reaches a very high throughput
- Data Access is based on pre-generated tables

- Transpose is NO option!



### **SAR PROCESSING AND MAPPING OVERVIEW**

This is how a filter can run through the data, if it were nicely mapped and aligned. First of all, we need to assume that the data is already mapped in memory.

Remapping of data streams comes at high cost. *So let's make it fit!* 

This still works for an output oriented strategy.





This is how a filter can run through the data (even for multiple PRI cycles), if it were nicely mapped and

Secondly, processing the data streams inline will cause intermediate results to be evicted from local memories (D\$).

Inline Processing will be slow, due to zero reuse. Also works for multiple threads!



# **PERFORMANCE COMPARISON – STAGGERED SAR**

Since no performance results have been published on this application before, we show the improvement throughout our own development:

Reference Throughput:0.097 Gbit/s (Python)First Implementation:0.102 Gbit/s (Naïve C)

Achieved throughput: 16.8 Gbit/s (HiPeR)

-> Acceleration by a factor of **164.7x** over a naïve implementation

This meets requirements similar to ROSE-L missions and beyond!



#### Throughput Comparison HiPeR vs. Reference (Mbps)

# **OPTICAL SHIP DETECTION ALGORITHM OVERVIEW**

*S4Pro has identified edge-detection as the most performance-critical onboard processing task for ship detection.* 

*Therefore, benchmarking activities have focused on the acceleration of corresponding software routines.* 

Benchmarking activities were carried out in parallel to the algorithm design. In order to correct the path.



# **OPTICAL IMAGE PROCESSING AND MAPPING OVERVIEW**



**Morphological Closing** is useful for filling small gaps and sharpening edges of an image, while preserving shape and size of the objects in the image.

- It consists of a dilation operation followed by erosion.
- The algorithm is memory bound.
- SIMD instructions have been used to vectorize the code.
- Multithreading improved the throughput.





# **OPTICAL IMAGE PROCESSING AND MAPPING OVERVIEW**





The <u>Sobel Filter</u> consists of two 3x3 convolution kernels. Then gradient magnitude and phase are calculated.

- The algorithm is compute-bound
- Data access is improved by using loop tiling
- SIMD and multithreading were used as well



# **PERFORMANCE COMPARISON - SHIP DECTECTION**



Achieved throughput: up to 762 Mbit/s (depending on window size)

#### Achieved acceleration compared to known solutions: up to 691%

Note: Routines not included in the OpenCV Distribution were replaced by fully optimized HiPeR routines, in order to make a fair comparison for the overall application performance.

# **OUTLOOK AND FUTURE WORK**

- Implementation and Qualification of pattern recognition SW in commercial nanosats
- Further developments of Staggered SAR applications
- Development of the Next Gen PPU (initial benchmarks have been started)
- Porting the SW to other available processing platforms
- Enlarging the HiPeR library





# ANY QUESTIONS?









This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 822014. This text reflects only the author's views and the Commission is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

